

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 VAAGN VARTANIAN,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 PORTFOLIO RECOVERY  
15 ASSOCIATES, LLC,  
16 Defendant.

Case No. 2:12-cv-08358-ODW(AJWx)  
**ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S  
OPPOSITION [16]**

17 On November 30, 2012, Defendant Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC moved  
18 to dismiss Plaintiff Vaagn Vartanian's Complaint, scheduling the hearing on its  
19 motion for January 7, 2013. (ECF No. 13.) Based on this hearing date, Local  
20 Rule 7-9 directs that Vartanian's opposition to Portfolio's motion was due no later  
21 than December 17, 2012. But while Vartanian's opposition is dated December 17,  
22 2012, he failed to file it until December 20, 2012. The Court therefore **STRIKES** the

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///


27 ///

28 ///

1 opposition as untimely. L.R. 7-12. (“The Court may decline to consider any  
2 memorandum or other document not filed within the deadline set by order or local  
3 rule.”)

4  
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6  
7 December 21, 2012

8  
9 

10 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**  
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28